

Claim No.	Examples of sections from the specification that provide support
1	Page 100, line 6 to page 101, line 4; Table 3; page 7, line 8; page 52, lines 23-27; and page 56, lines 10-11.
2	Page 100, lines 6-26
3	Page 123, line 28 to page 124, line 25; page 24, line 30 to page 25, line 5; see also Table 2, pages 33-37.
4	Page 138, lines 13-19
5	Page 40, lines 19-22
6	Table 3 on pages 101-108
7	Page 93, lines 21-27; page 80, lines 7-8 and 26-29; and page 108, lines 15-19
8	Page 93, lines 21-27; and page 108, lines 15-19

II. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112

The rejection set forth in paragraph 4 of the Office Action is believed to be fully addressed by the new claims presented in this amendment. These new claims have been drafted to parallel the language of the claims in recently-issued U.S. Patent Number 6,261,836. Thus, the claims use language that has previously been found acceptable to the Patent Office.

The rejection set forth in paragraph 5 is rendered moot by the current amendment as the language which the Examiner objects to is not included in the new claims. Similarly, the rejection of paragraph 7 is rendered moot because the language at issue is not included in the new claims.

III. Claim Rejections under 35 USC 102

The rejection set forth in paragraph 9 is also rendered moot because of the cancellation of claims 67, 69 and 70.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

D

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 303-571-4000.

Respectfully submitted,



Scott L. Ausenhus
Reg. No. 42,271

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3834
Tel: (415) 576-0200
Fax: (415) 576-0300
SLA
DE 7049245 v1

D